LMP 1210 - Basic principles of machine learning in biomedical research

Rahul G. Krishnan

Canada CIFAR AI Chair

Tier II Canada Research Chair in Computational Medicine

Unsupervised Learning vs Supervised Learning

High-dimensional data is everywhere!

High-Dimensions = Lot of Features

Document classification

Features per document = thousands of words/unigrams

Source: Nina Balcan

High-dimensional data is everywhere!

High-Dimensions = Lot of Features

MEG Brain Imaging 120 locations x 500 time points x 20 objects

Source: Nina Balcan

Curse of Dimensionality

Useful for:

- Visualization
- More efficient use of resources \bullet (e.g., time, memory, communication)
- Statistical: fewer dimensions \rightarrow better generalization
- Noise removal (improving data quality)
- Further processing by machine learning algorithms

PCA: Principle Component Analysis

What is PCA: Unsupervised technique for extracting variance structure from high dimensional datasets.

• PCA is an orthogonal projection or transformation of the data into a (possibly lower dimensional) subspace so that the variance of the projected data is maximized.

Source: Nina Balcan

PCA: Principle Component Analysis

Question: Can we transform the features so that we only need to preserve one latent feature?

Source: Nina Balcan

PCA: Principle Component Analysis

In case where data lies on or near a low d-dimensional linear subspace, axes of this subspace are an effective representation of the data.

Identifying the axes is known as Principal Components Analysis, and can be obtained by using classic matrix computation tools (Eigen or Singular Value Decomposition). Source: Nina Balcan

Example: 2D Gaussian

Source: Barnabas Poczos

Example: 2D Gaussian

Source: Barnabas Poczos

Example: 2D Gaussian

Source: Barnabas Poczos

How PCA?

Intuition: Maximizing the Variances

- Consider the two projections below
- Which maximizes the variance?

How PCA?

Intuition: Maximizing the Variances

• Given data $\{x_1, ..., x_m\}$, compute covariance matrix Σ

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{x}_i - \overline{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}})^T
$$
 where $\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_i$

• **PCA** basis vectors = the eigenvectors of Σ

We get the eigvectors using an eigendecomposition. Power iteration (Von Mises iteration is a standard algorithm for this)

• Larger eigenvalue \Rightarrow more important eigenvectors Source: Barnabas Poczos

More on Co-Variance

- Covariance as a measure of how much each of the dimensions vary from the mean with respect to each other.
- \cdot What is the interpretation of covariance calculations?
	- e.g.: 2 dimensional data set
	- x: number of hours studied for a subject
	- y: marks obtained in that subject covariance value is say: 104.53 what does this value mean?

More on Co-Variance

- Covariance as a measure of how much each of the dimensions vary from the mean with respect to each other.
- · A positive value of covariance indicates both dimensions increase or decrease together e.g. as the
number of hours studied increases, the marks in that subject increase.
- \cdot A negative value indicates while one increases the other decreases, or vice-versa

• If covariance is zero: the two dimensions are independent of each other e.g. heights of students vs
the marks obtained in a subject
source: Robert Collins, CSE/EE586

More on Co-Variance

- By finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance matrix, we find that the eigenvectors with the largest eigenvalues correspond to the dimensions that have the strongest correlation in the dataset.
- This is the principal component.
- PCA is a useful statistical technique that has found application in:
	- fields such as face recognition and image compression
	- finding patterns in data of high dimension.

PCA Summary

Goal: Find r-dim projection that best preserves variance

- 1. Compute mean vector μ and covariance matrix Σ of original points
- 2. Compute eigenvectors and eigenvalues of Σ
- 3. Select top r eigenvectors
- 4. Project points onto subspace spanned by them:

$$
y = A(x - \mu)
$$

where y is the new point, x is the old one, and the rows of A are the eigenvectors

How many PCs

- For n original dimensions, sample covariance matrix is nxn, and has up to n eigenvectors. So n PCs.
- Where does dimensionality reduction come from? Can *ignore* the components of lesser significance.

You do lose some information, but if the eigenvalues are small, you don't lose much

- n dimensions in original data
- calculate n eigenvectors and eigenvalues
- choose only the first p eigenvectors, based on their eigenvalues \bullet

Visualization of data using t-SNE

SNE - Stochastic Neighbor Embedding

Van der Maaten, L., & Hinton, G. (2008), Visualizing data using t-SNE, Journal of machine learning research, 9(11),

- t-SNE is an alternative dimensionality reduction algorithm.
- PCA tries to find a global structure
	- \triangleright Low dimensional subspace
	- \triangleright Can lead to local inconsistencies
		- \triangleright Far away point can become nearest neighbors
- t-SNE tries to perserve local structure
	- \triangleright Low dimensional neighborhood should be the same as original neighborhood.
- Unlike PCA almost only used for visualization
	- \triangleright No easy way to embed new points

Visualization of MNIST using PCA

Visualization of MNIST using t-SNE

SNE basic idea:

- "Encode" high dimensional neighborhood information as a distribution
- . Intuition: Random walk between data points.
	- \triangleright High probability to jump to a close point
- Find low dimensional points such that their neighborhood distribution is similar.
- How do you measure distance between distributions?
	- \triangleright Most common measure: KL divergence

- Consider the neighborhood around an input data point $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- Imagine that we have a Gaussian distribution centered around x_i
- Then the probability that x_i chooses some other datapoint x_i as its neighbor is in proportion with the density under this Gaussian
- A point closer to x_i will be more likely than one further away

The $i \rightarrow j$ probability (should be familiar from A1Q2), is the probability that point x_i , chooses x_i as its neighbor

$$
P_{j|i} = \frac{\exp\left(-||\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(j)}||^2/2\sigma_i^2\right)}{\sum_{k\neq i}\exp\left(-||\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}||^2/2\sigma_i^2\right)}
$$

With $P_{i|i}=0$

- The parameter σ_i sets the size of the neighborhood
	- \triangleright Very low σ_i all the probability is in the nearest neighbor.
	- \triangleright Very high σ_i Uniform weights.
- Here we set σ_i differently for each data point
- Results depend heavily on σ_i it defines the neighborhoods we are trying to preserve.
- Final distribution over pairs is symmetrized: $P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N}(P_{i|i} + P_{i|i})$

Given $\mathbf{x}^{(1)},...,\mathbf{x}^{(N)} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ we define the distribution P_{ii}

Goal: Find good embedding $y^{(1)}, ..., y^{(N)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d < D$ (normally or $3)$

How do we measure an embedding quality?

For points $y^{(1)},...,y^{(N)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we can define distribution Q similarly the sar (notice no σ_i^2 and not symmetric)

$$
Q_{ij} = \frac{\exp\left(-||\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(j)}||^2\right)}{\sum_k \sum_{l \neq k} \exp\left(-||\mathbf{y}^{(l)} - \mathbf{y}^{(k)}||^2\right)}
$$

Optimize Q to be close to P

 \triangleright Minimize KL-divergence

SNE pitfall: Crowding problem

- In high dimension we have more room, points can have a lot of different neighbors
- In 2D a point can have a few neighbors at distance one all far from each other - what happens when we embed in 1D?
- This is the "crowding problem" we don't have enough room to accommodate all neighbors.
- This is one of the biggest problems with SNE.
- \bullet t-SNE solution: Change the Gaussian in Q to a heavy tailed distribution.
	- if Q changes slower, we have more "wiggle room" to place points at.

SNE pitfall: Crowding problem

There is much more space in high dimensions.

t-Distributed SNE

t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding

• Student-t Probability density $p(x) \propto (1 + \frac{x^2}{y})^{-(\nu+1)/2}$

For
$$
v = 1
$$
 we get $p(x) \propto \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$

- Probability goes to zero much slower then a Gaussian.
- Can show it is equivalent to averaging Gaussians with some prior over σ^2
- We can now redefine Q_{ii} as

$$
Q_{ij} = \frac{(1+||\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j||^2)^{-1}}{\sum_k \sum_{l \neq k} (1+||\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{y}_l||^2)^{-1}}
$$

• We leave P_{ii} as is!

How t-SNE? (Optional)

Algorithm 1: Simple version of t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding.

Data: data set $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\},\$

cost function parameters: perplexity Perp,

optimization parameters: number of iterations T, learning rate η , momentum $\alpha(t)$. **Result:** low-dimensional data representation $\mathcal{Y}^{(T)} = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$.

begin

compute pairwise affinities $p_{j|i}$ with perplexity Perp (using Equation 1) set $p_{ij} = \frac{p_{j|i} + p_{i|j}}{2n}$ sample initial solution $\mathcal{Y}^{(0)} = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$ from $\mathcal{N}(0, 10^{-4}I)$ for $t=I$ to T do compute low-dimensional affinities q_{ij} (using Equation 4) compute gradient $\frac{\delta C}{\delta \gamma}$ (using Equation 5) Gradient Descentset $\mathcal{Y}^{(t)} = \mathcal{Y}^{(t-1)} + \eta \frac{\delta C}{\delta \gamma} + \alpha(t) \left(\mathcal{Y}^{(t-1)} - \mathcal{Y}^{(t-2)} \right)$ end end

[Slide credit: "Visualizing Data using t-SNE"]

Breaks

UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection

-
- MAP is a replacement for tSNE to fulfil the
Conceptually very similar to tSNE, but with
(and somewhat technical) changes
Practical outcome is:
 $-$ UMAP is quite a bit quicker than tSNE
 $-$ UMAP can preserve more global st
- -
	-
	-
	-

UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection

- -
	-
- Instead of the single perplexity value in tSI

 Nearest neighbours: the number of expected

the same concept as perplexity

 Minimum distance: how tightly UMAP packs

Nearest neighbours will affect the influenc

info

UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection

-
- Speed mostly a level of maths I'm nc
— UMAP skips a normalisation step in the c
dimensional distances which speeds it up
— In the 2D projection UMAP uses a more ϵ
the cells into their final position
• Doesn't have to
	- -
		-
		-

UMAP is better than tSNE?

source: Simon Andrews

UMAP is better than tSNE?

- It may perform better on more complex datasets
- It's certainly quicker

https://pair-code.github.io/understanding-umap/

source: Simon Andrews

• An autoencoder is a special type of feed forward neural network which does the following

 $\mathbf{h} = g(W\mathbf{x_i} + \mathbf{b})$

- An autoencoder is a special type of feed forward neural network which does the following
- \bullet Encodes its input x_i into a hidden representation h

$$
\mathbf{h} = g(W\mathbf{x_i} + \mathbf{b})
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{x_i}} = f(W^*\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{c})
$$

- An autoencoder is a special type of feed forward neural network which does the following
- \bullet Encodes its input x_i into a hidden representation h
- Decodes the input again from this hidden representation

$$
\mathbf{h} = g(W\mathbf{x_i} + \mathbf{b})
$$

$$
\mathbf{\hat{x_i}} = f(W^*\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{c})
$$

- An autoencoder is a special type of feed forward neural network which does the following
- Encodes its input x_i into a hidden representation h
- Decodes the input again from this hidden representation
- The model is trained to minimize a certain loss function which will ensure that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is close to \mathbf{x}_i (we will see some such loss functions soon)

How about a fat auto-encoder?

- us consider the case when \bullet Let $\dim(\mathbf{h}) \geq \dim(\mathbf{x_i})$
- \bullet In such a case the autoencoder could learn a trivial encoding by simply copying x_i into h and then copying h into $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$

How about a fat auto-encoder?

- us consider the case when \bullet Let $\dim(\mathbf{h}) \geq \dim(\mathbf{x_i})$
- \bullet In such a case the autoencoder could learn a trivial encoding by simply copying x_i into h and then copying h into $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$

How about a fat auto-encoder?

- Let us consider the case when $\dim(\mathbf{h}) \geq \dim(\mathbf{x_i})$
- In such a case the autoencoder could learn a trivial encoding by simply copying x_i into h and then copying h into $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$
- Such an identity encoding is useless in practice as it does not really tell us anything about the important characteristics of the data

Going Deeper: Stacked Auto-encoder

How to choose encoder/decoder

- Tabular Data (e.g., clinical variables, gene expression profiles etc.) : Multi-layer Perceptron/Fully Connected Layers
- Imaging Data (e.g., MRI, CT scans etc.) : Convolutional Neural Networks
- Sequence Data (e.g., Texts, ECG etc.) : Recurrent Neural Networks

Multi-Modal Auto-encoder

Take-Aways

- Dimension Reduction is useful for removing noise, visualization, reducing computational cost, data compression.
- PCA is a linear dimension reduction method that tries to maximize the variances in low-dimensional space.
- T-SNE and UMAP are non-linear visualization approaches that aim to preserve neighboring similarities.
- Deep Auto-Encoder is a non-linear representation learning approach that aims to reconstruct the inputs.